## Case 3:10-cv-03224-WHA Document 113 Filed 11/21/11 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4674 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: vince.chhabria@sfgov.org  Attorneys for Defendant THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCIS CALIFORNIA	SCO,			
10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
CTIA – THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION®,   Case No. C10-03224 WHA			WHA		
14	Plaintiff,			LICATION TO CONTINUE	
	VS.	FEES AND	<b>DUE D</b> A	TION FOR ATTORNEY'S ATES FOR OPPOSITION	
15	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN			UANT TO CIV. L.R. 7-7(a) d); [PROPOSED] ORDER	
16	FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA,	Date:	Decen	nber 15, 2011	
17	Defendant.	Time: Before:	8:00 a		
18 19		Before.	450 G Courtr	olden Gate Avenue room 9, 19th Floor	
			San Fi	rancisco, CA 94102	
20		Date Action	Filed:	July 23, 2010	
21		Trial Date:		None set	
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

1

## STIPULATED APPLICATION

Plaintiff CTIA's Motion for Attorney's Fees in the above-captioned action is set for December 2 15, 2011 at 8:00 a.m. The City's response to this Motion is presently due November 28, 2011. 3 Undersigned counsel for the City will be unable to attend adquately to the response by that date, both 4 because of the time involved in responding to CTIA's emergency request for a stay pending appeal in 5 the Ninth Circuit, and because of pre-arranged vacation plans over the Thanksgiving holiday thereafter. Because a response to CTIA's fee motion requires familiarity with the details of the 7 litigation, and because undersigned counsel for the City is the only attorney for the City familiar with 8 9 these details, finding substitute counsel to respond to CTIA's motion is not practical. Accordingly, the 10 parties have met and conferred and, subject to this Court approval, have agreed that the hearing on CTIA's motion should be continued to January 5, 2012 at 8:00 a.m., with the City's opposition being 11 due on December 13, 2011 and with CTIA's reply being due on December 22, 2011. 12 13 14 Dated: November 18, 2011 15 16 By: s/Vince Chhabria VINCE CHHABRIA 17 Attorney for Defendant 18 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO **CALIFORNIA** 19 20 Dated: November 18, 2011 21 22 By: \*\*s/Craig E. Stewart CRAIG E. STEWART 23 Attorney for Plaintiff 24 CTIA – THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION 25 \*\*pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from this signatory. 26

27

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
1	
	8
	0
	1
	2
	3
2	4
2	5

26

27

28

## **ORDER**

The parties' request to continue Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees is GRANTED. The hearing on Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees shall take place on January 5, 2012 at 8:00 a.m. Defendant's opposition to this motion shall be filed no later than December 13, 2011, and Plaintiff's reply shall be filed no later than December 22, 2011.

Dated: November 21, 2011.



William Alsup
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE